



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,509	02/18/2000	Jay S. Walker	3553-4020US2	8064

7590 03/22/2002

Walter G. Hanchuk
Morgan & Finnegan, L.L.P
345 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10154

EXAMINER

RIMELL, SAMUEL G

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2166

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/507,509

Applicant(s)

WALKER ET AL.

Examiner

Sam Rimell

Art Unit

2166

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 98-111 and 138-147 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 98, 100-104, 106, 108, 110, 111, 138-144, 146 and 147 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 99, 105, 107, 109, 145 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other: ____.

SAM RIMELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
AO 2/16/6

Art Unit: 2166

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 98, 100-104, 106, 108, 110-111, 138-144 and 146-147 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spallone et al. ('686) in view of Bezos ('399).

Spallone et al. discloses a shopping order system having a server (200) which includes a storage device for storing programs (col. 3 lines 62-65). Processors (220, 230) are connected to the server (220) via a communications network. The processors (220,230) receive conditional purchase offers from customers (FIGS 3B-3F). The purchase offers are compared with seller inventory (FIG. 5). As seen in the far right column in FIG. 5 a determination is made as to whether the conditional purchase for the particular item is acceptable or unacceptable. If the purchase is unacceptable (by reason that the item is out of stock) then the rejection is formulated and transmitted to the user (col. 7, lines 6-8). The notation within the inventory database (FIG.5) that the item is out of stock prevents the customer from any orders on that particular item.

The prices for the items in FIG. 5 are the seller defined rules.

The customer uses a series of web pages (FIGS 3A-3G) and which define a web browser.

Spallone et al. differs from the claims in that it does not disclose the receipt of payment identifiers from the customer.

Art Unit: 2166

However, Bezos teaches a system that can be used in an environment where a merchant receives an order from a customer. In addition to the order, the customer can provide a payment identifier (lines 12-15 of abstract) that links the merchant to a customer credit card or debit card (col. 3, lines 7-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Spallone et al. to include the transmission of a payment identifier to the merchant to assist in the secure payment of the items being ordered, as taught by Bezos.

Using the system of Spallone et al. to order airline tickets, computer equipment, hotel reservations or other forms of merchandise would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a choice of design.

Claims 99, 105, 107, 109 and 145 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Remarks

Applicant's arguments regarding the Spallone et al. and Bezos references are not well taken. Applicant argues that Spallone et al. teaches the presentation of an "order" as opposed to an "offer".

In applicant's invention, the "offer" is a recorded request for a specific item which is presented by a customer. In Spallone et al., the "order" is a recorded request for a specific item which is presented by a customer. Considering that the characteristics of the "order" and "offer" are identical, Examiner does not find any real distinction between the two terms.

Art Unit: 2166

Applicant also argues that "Bezos fails to cure the deficiencies of Spallone". It is presumed that applicant is suggesting the lack of an "offer" feature in Bezos, although Bezos clearly illustrates the acceptance of orders for merchandise from customers. Once again, the "orders" do not distinguish from "offers".

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.



Sam Rimell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2166